# Early and Adequate Feeding in the Critically III Brain Injured Patient

Christopher M. Watson, MD, FACS<sup>1</sup>; Mark Jones, MD, FACS<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Palmetto Health USC Medical Group Surgical Specialists – Columbia, South Carolina <sup>2</sup>University of South Carolina, - Columbia, South Carolina

# Introduction/Background

- Advances in feeding the ICU patient to ensure nutrient delivery include: Early Initiation
  - Utilization of volume based feeding protocols
  - Correctly starting specialty formulas within the STICU
- TBI patients are at higher risk for developing malnutrition: Specific metabolic function
  - Increased nutrient demand
- Improved outcomes for TBI patients occur when:

CLINICAL

NUTRITION

- Enteral feeds started within 48 hours of hemodynamic stability
- Nutrient delivered are maintained throughout their ICU stay
- Patients started on immune modulating formulas
- More research is needed to assess the effect and adequacy of a volume based feeding protocol on TBI patients in the surgical trauma intensive care unit (STICU)

Table 1: Immune Modulating Formulation				
Kcal/ml	1.5 kc			
Caloric Distribution	25% Calories fr 37% Calories from 38% Calories			
Protein Source	Hydrolyzed ca L-argin			
Supplemental L-arginine	18.7 g			
L-glutamine	8.1 g/			
Dietary Nucleotides	1.8 g/			
NPC:N Ratio	63:1			
MCT:LCT Ratio	50:5			
EPA+ DHA	4.9 g/			
Amount needed to meet 100% RDI Micronutrients	1000 I			
Osmolality	510 mos			
% Water	77%			

Jessica A. Justice, RDN, LD, CNSC<sup>1</sup>; Oreokoya Bunmi, MBBS, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Phillip Reath, PA-C<sup>1</sup>; Rachel Houchins, MD<sup>1</sup>;

### Study Design

This was a single-center, retrospective review studying patients diagnosed with a TBI, and started on a volume based feeding protocol with an immune modulating formula (See Table 1; IMPACT Peptide 1.5, Florham Park, NJ) from January 2014-July 2016.

### **Primary Objective**

To examine the association between categories of volume feeding and overall hospital outcomes between three groups:

- nutrition throughout their ICU stay
- Fed early (within 24 to 48 hours of stability) and maintained 80% of
- Fed early and maintained < 80% of nutrition throughout their ICU stay
- Fed late (fed > 48 hours of stability)

### **Patient Population**

- Inclusion Criteria:
- Adult patients ≥ 18 years old
- Admitted to the Surgery Trauma Intensive Care Unit (STICU) diagnosed with traumatic brain injury
- Required enteral nutrition for 48 hours

### Exclusion Criteria:

- ICU Length of stay < 72 hours</li>
- Did not require enteral nutrition > 48 hours
- Prisoner s and pregnant patients
- Patients transferred from outside facility or ICU already on nutrition support.

# Results

- **50** patients were included in analyses. Patients who were fed early were started in enteral feeds on day 2, while those who were fed late were started on day 4. Chart 1 demonstrates the day goal enteral feeds were achieved within the ICU after starting
- the volume based feeding protocol.
- Most patients were able to tolerate the feeding protocol. Gastrointestinal intolerance was reported in 14 (28%) of patients.
  - Fed early and maintained > 80%: 0 of 19 patients
  - Fed early and maintained < 80%: 6 of 20 patients (30%)</li>
  - Fed late: 8 of 11 patients (72.7%)

### Chart 1: Average Day Goal Enteral Feeds Were Met



### rom Protein Carbohydrate from Fat

asein (milk) nine

sm/kg

# Methods

Achieved **Goal within** Day 3 (56%)

■ Early, did not meet 80% goal

Table 2: Sample Characteristics According to Onset (within 48 hours) and Adequacy of Enteral   Feeding						
Variables	Total N=50	Late feeding N=11	Early feeding but did not meet 80% N=20	Early feeding and met 80% N=19	<b>P-value</b> a	
Age, mean (SD) Gender, no (%) Female	47.9 (19.5) 10 (20)	52.8 (18.9) 3 (27.3)	40.2 (17.5) 4 (20.0)	53.4 (19.9) 3 (15.8)	0.07 * 0.750	
Male Ethnicity, no (%) White	40 (80) 30 (60)	8 (72.7) 7 (63.6)	16 (80.0) 11 (55.0)	16 (84.2 12 (63.2)	0.840	
Nonwhite BMI, mean (SD)	20 (20) 27.6 (6.0)	4 (36.4) 25.0 (4.8)	9 (45.0) 31 (6.2)	7 (36.8) 25.6 (4.7)	0.003	
Vasopressor use, no (%) Yes	37 (74)	6 (55.6)	14 (70.0)	17 (89.5)	0.096*	
No Hospital Dispo	13 (26) 21 (42)	5 (45.4)	6 (30.0) 7 (25.0)	2 (11.5) 9 ( $A$ 7 $A$ )	0.951	
0=nome, 1= rehab 2 = nursing home/LTAC 3 = death	21(42) 15 (30) 6 (12) 8 (16)	5 (45.5) 3 (27.3) 1 (9.1) 2 (18.2)	7 (35.0) 7 (35.0) 2 (10.0) 4 (20.0)	9 (47.4) 5 (26.3) 3 (15.8) 2 (10.5)		
Calorie, mean (SD)	1575.9 (280.9)	1539.0 (298.7)	1471.2 (272.6)	1707.6 (235.6)	0.025	
% Calorie met	77.7 (11.5)	79. 5 (6.9)	68.0 (10.1)	86.8 (5.8)	<0.001	
% Protein Met	78.1 (11.5)	79.9 (6.5)	68.4 (10.3)	87.3 (5.2)	<0.001	
Mechanical ventilation duration <sup>a</sup> Statistical Significant	10.9 (8.4)	13.6 (6.07)	12.0 (10.0)	8.31 (7.3)	0.197	

\*Borderline significance: p<0.1

- Those who were started earlier on volume based feeding program with a semielemental immune modulating formula were more likely to maintain 80% of nutrient needs compared to those started later at our institution
- Patients who were not started early or did not maintain 80% was attributed to gastrointestinal intolerance or healthcare team adherence to protocol.
- Further research is needed at our institution for the overall hospital outcomes associated with early feeding of traumatic brain injured patients on a semielemental, immune modulating formula.

*Clinical Practice*, 2008, 608-620. 2010 Apr;Vol. 14(2):R78.



### Palmetto Health USC MEDICAL GROUP

# Results

# Conclusion

# References

- McClave, S. MD. et al. "Guidelines for the Provision and Assessment of Nutrition Support Therapy in the Adult Critically III Patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N). JPEN. 2016. 159-211. Cook, A Pharm D. et al. "Nutrition Considerations in Traumatic Brain Injury". Nutrition in
- Heyland, DK, Cahill NE, Dhaliwal R, et al. Enhanced protein-energy provision via the enteral route in critically ill patients: a single center feasibility trial of the PEP uP Protocol. Crit Care.