# HYPOCALORIC HIGH-PROTEIN ENTERAL NUTRITION IMPROVES GLUCOSE MANAGEMENT IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS

AUTHORS: J.B. Ochoa<sup>1</sup>, M.B. Huhmann<sup>1</sup>, D.C. Files<sup>2</sup>, J.W. Drover<sup>3</sup>, A. Bernard<sup>4</sup>, T.R. Ziegler<sup>5</sup>, J.P. Kress<sup>6</sup>, K. Ham<sup>7</sup>, D. Grathwol<sup>8</sup>, H. Kulkarni<sup>9</sup>, T. Rice<sup>10</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nestlé Health Science, Florham Park, NJ; <sup>2</sup>Wake Forest University, Winston Salem, NC; <sup>3</sup>Kingston Hospital, Kingston, Ontario, Canada; <sup>4</sup>University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY; <sup>5</sup>Emory University, Atlanta, GA; <sup>6</sup>University of Chicago, Hinsdale, IL; <sup>7</sup>Regions Hospital, St Paul, Minnesota; <sup>8</sup>Nestec, Lausanne, Switzerland; <sup>9</sup>Cognizant, London, United Kingdom; <sup>10</sup>Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

### **BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVES**

- Hyperglycemia in critically ill patients is associated with increased morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, utilization of health care resources, and cost. Approximately 46% of patients admitted to the ICU in the United States have hyperglycemia in the first 24 hours of admission<sup>1</sup>. The NICE Sugar study illustrated more than 80% of patients in the ICU experience hyperglycemia<sup>2</sup>. Approximately 20-27% percent of these patients have a previous diagnosis of diabetes mellitus<sup>2.3</sup>. Carbohydrate restriction has been utilized as a means to improve glucose control in critically ill patients. Quantity and perhaps type of protein also appears to play a role in glucose management.
- The objective of this study was to compare blood glucose control with a hypocaloric, high protein enteral tube feeding formulation versus a normocaloric, high protein formulation in overweight or obese ICU patients. We present here a preliminary analysis of the intention to treat data.

### **METHODS**

### **Population:**

- Prospective, randomized, open label multicenter clinical trial, mechanically ventilated critically ill, obese and overweight subjects requiring enteral nutrition
  - 7 academic medical centers

### Intervention:

- Random assignment:
- Hypocaloric group, which utilized Peptamen Intense VHP, a very high protein, low carbohydrate formula
- Normocaloric group, which utilized Replete.
- Assigned formula was delivered a target protein level of 1.5 g/kg ideal body weight.

	Peptamen <sup>®</sup> Intense VHP	Replete <sup>®</sup>
Caloric Density	1.0 Kcal/mL	1.0 Kcal/mL
Protein (% energy)	92 g/L (37%)	64 g/L (25%)
Carbohydrate (% energy)	76 g/L (29%)	112 g/L (45%)
Fat (% energy)	38 g/L (34%)	34 g/L (30%)

1. Badawi et al. Crit Care Med 2012; 40:3180–3188 2. The NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 2009;360:1283-97. 3. Carpenter et al. Crit Care Med 2015; 43:e541–e550

# METHODS

#### Measures:

- Primary endpoint: Number of glycemic events in the first 7 ICU days> 150 mg/dL or < 110 mg/dL</li>
- Serial blood glucose concentrations, markers of nutritional status and inflammation, insulin and dextrose

#### Statistics:

- Sample size of 100 subjects per arm calculated based on the primary endpoint
- An interim analysis was undertaken when 40 subjects completed at least five days of data collection. Preliminary data are presented here.

# DEMOGRAPHICS

- Ninety eight subjects were randomized into the study at the time of interim analysis.
- 40 had at least five days of data collected.
- The remaining subjects withdrew primarily due to removal of the feeding tube.


	Hypocaloric	Normocaloric
Age	60.7 ± 15.1	62.6 ± 12.1
BMI (kg/m2)	33.7 ± 4.6	32.5 ± 5.7
Female	21 (42.9%)	27 (55.1%)
APACHE II Score	25.1 ± 9.0	26.3 ± 9.2
Protein Goal (g/day)	95.2 ± 17.8	92.8 ± 18.8

# **PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

#### Glucose:


- There was no significant difference between groups in glucose variability in the blood glucose range of 110-150 mg/dL.
- Mean glucose level was significantly lower in the hypocaloric group (128 [114, 143] vs. 140 [125, 158], p = 0.0443)
- Mean daily glucose levels were significantly lower in the hypocaloric group on days 2, 3 and 4 (p<0.05; Figure 1).
- Subjects receiving the hypocaloric formulation had significantly more blood glucose levels between 81- 110 mg/dL and significantly less values > 150 mg/dL (Figure 2).
- There was no significant difference in hypoglycemia (blood glucose <81mg/dL) between groups.

# PRELIMINARY RESULTS



Mean Nutritional Intake	Hypocaloric	Normocaloric
Energy (kCal/Kg IBW)	12.1 ± 4.2	16.8 ± 6.6
Protein (g/Kg IBW)	$1.12 \pm 0.4$	$1.05 \pm 0.4$
Carbohydrate (g/day)	59.1 ± 23.9	117.7 ± 51.4
Fat (g/day)	28.8 ± 11.7	35.7 ± 15.6

Figure 2: Blood Glucose Distribution



### Insulin:

• There was a significant decrease in the incidence of insulin administration in the hypocaloric group (delta = -12%, p = 0.044).

#### Adverse Events:

• There was one death in the hypocaloric group and six in the normocaloric group (p=0.11). None were product related.

### CONCLUSION

These preliminary study results suggest that a hypocaloric diet with a very high protein and low carbohydrate formula can facilitate blood glucose management by decreasing episodes of hyperglycemia, decreasing insulin utilization and normalizing blood glucose levels in adult critically ill patients.

